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#### Abstract

Mutations in MEK1/2 have been described as a resistance mechanism to $\mathrm{BRAF} / \mathrm{MEK}$ inhibitor treatment. We report the discovery of a novel ATP-competitive MEK1/2 inhibitor with efficacy in wildtype (WT) and mutant MEK12 models. Starting from a HTS hit, we obtained selective, cellularly active compounds that showed equipotent inhibition of WT MEK1/2 and a panel of MEK1/2 mutant cell lines. Using a structure-based approach, the optimization addressed the liabilities by systematic analysis of molecular matched pairs (MMPs) and ligand conformation. Addition of only three heavy atoms to early tool  compound 6 removed Cyp3A4 liabilities and increased the cellular potency by 100 -fold, while reducing log $P$ by 5 units. Profiling of MAP855, compound 30, in pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic and efficacy studies in BRAF-mutant models showed comparable efficacy to clinical MEK1/2 inhibitors. Compound 30 is a novel highly potent and selective MEK1/2 kinase inhibitor with equipotent inhibition of WT and mutant MEK1/2, whose drug-like properties allow further investigation in the mutant MEK setting upon BRAF/MEK therapy.


## - INTRODUCTION

Resistance to clinical BRAF/MEK therapy often involves reactivation of the MAPK pathway, with activating mutations in MEK1/2 as a one resistance mechanism. ${ }^{1}$.. Activating alterations in MEK1/2 also occur in treatment-naïve settings and germline alterations in cardio-facio-cutaneous syndrome. ${ }^{2-5}$ In vitro random mutagenesis identified several mutations lining the allosteric pocket. ${ }^{6}$ Analysis of clinical as well as in vitro resistance mutations revealed an upregulation of pERK levels. We therefore hypothesized that all mutations shift the conformational equilibrium toward the active state (Figure 1). For example, several clinical mutations cluster around the autoinhibitory helix- $\alpha \mathrm{A}$ whose truncation activates MEK $1 / 2$ by up to 200 -fold in a RAF-independent manner. ${ }^{7}$ In a similar fashion, helix- $\alpha \mathrm{A}$ mutations could activate MEK1/2 by relieving autoinhibition. This led us to the therapeutic rationale that an ATP-competitive, conformation-agnostic MEK1/2 inhibitor targeting wildtype (WT) and mutant MEK1/2 equipotently should address diverse MEK1/2 mutants.
Recently, a more nuanced picture of the mechanisms of MEK1/2 mutations has emerged, with three distinct classes. ${ }^{8}$ Helix- $\alpha \mathrm{A}$-associated mutations can affect the activation
kinetics, basal activity of unphosphorylated MEK1/2, and dependency on upstream MAPK alterations. ${ }^{9}$ Allosteric pocket and helix- $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ mutations interfere with the binding of allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitors either directly or by destabilizing the native inactive helix- $\alpha \mathrm{C}$-out conformation to which they bind. ${ }^{10}$ Finally, deletion mutations in the $\beta 3$-helix- $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ loop increase the basal activity independent of upstream activation. ${ }^{8}$ The latter is a general mechanism linked to a minimum loop length required for inactive helix- $\alpha \mathrm{C}$ conformations, supporting the initial conformational hypothesis. ${ }^{11-13}$

ATP-competitive MEK1/2 inhibitors 1-3 have been described in the literature (Figure 2) but showed limited selectivity and/or cellular activity in our hands (Supporting Information Table 1). ${ }^{14-18}$ Herein, we report the discovery of ATP-competitive MEK1/2 inhibitors with efficacy in the WT
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Figure 1. Therapeutic rationale. In vitro mutagenesis (green spheres) and clinical resistance mutations in MEK1 (dark blue, offset right) and MEK2 (light blue, offset left) reported at the inception of this study are mapped onto the ternary complex MEK1.ADP.PD0325901 (PDB 3EQG). ${ }^{10}$ Most of the mutations line the allosteric pocket and the autoinhibitory helix- $\alpha \mathrm{A}$ whose truncation has been shown to activate MEK1/2 (green ribbon). ${ }^{7}$ For clarity, $\beta 1-\beta 3$ are shown to be transparent.
and mutant MEK1/2 setting. Starting from HTS hit 4, we obtained selective, cellularly active tool compounds that showed no shifts on a panel of MEK1/2 mutant cell lines.

Lead optimization addressed the liabilities of the series (poor physicochemical properties, Cyp3A4 inhibition, and a species disconnect in clearance) by systematic analysis of structure-activity relations and the impact of conformation, while increasing the potency by 4 orders of magnitude. Remarkably, this was achieved by the addition of only three heavy atoms to 6 . Profiling of compound 30 in pharmacoki-netic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) and efficacy studies in BRAF-mutant models showed comparable efficacy to clinical allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitors.

## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From Hit 4 to Tool Compound 6. From a high throughput screening campaign using a MEK1-ERK2 cascade assay, imidazoquinoline 4 emerged as a moderately potent hit with a promising kinase selectivity profile, no activity on BRAF or ERK2 and a hint of cellular activity (Table 1). Initial

Table 1. Enzymatic and Cellular Potencies of 4-6

${ }^{a}$ Off-target kinases with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ below 1 and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the Novartis inhouse kinase panel.
optimization of 4 focused on indole replacements. A comparison of a 7-H versus 7-F matched pair revealed 7fluorine as a selectivity driver, presumably by clashing with less flexible gatekeeper (gk) residues in off-target kinases. No good substitute of the $7-\mathrm{F}$ could be identified, and it was therefore kept constant throughout the optimization. The 4-benzonitrile acceptor in position 1 was crucial for cellular activity and could only be replaced later.

Mutant activity was assessed biochemically and in cells. The MEK1 V211D mutation was used to cover the spectrum of activating mutants, as it showed the strongest activation of pERK in our cellular models which introduced a plasmid of the mutant allele in A375 cells. Early on, we realized that an assay format using constitutively active MEK1 (S218E/S222E mutant) was poorly predictive of cellar activity and switched to a WT and V211D cascade assay format, whose sensitivity was further increased by raising the ATP concentration to 1 $\mathrm{mM}\left(\sim 100\right.$-fold $\left.K_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. All $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ are reported in the later, a more stringent format. Compound 5 was the first example with the desired activity profile, showing good kinase and pathway selectivity and no shift between A375 V211D versus WT pERK and proliferation $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (Table 1). Furthermore, two representative analogues of 5 showed no $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ shifts across panel mutant A375 cell lines, for which large shifts were observed with allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitors (Figure 3A). Due to the good correlation between WT and V211D versions of biochemical and cellular assays (Figure 3B,C), our optimization relied on WT assays with occasional cross-checks.

Improving the exceptionally poor physicochemical profile of $5(\log P 6.9$, unmeasurable solubility, high melting point) was a key optimization objective with $\log P$ as a proxy parameter. Several strategies were tried to address the solubility of 5: core morphs (e.g., saturation, ring opening, and truncation),


Figure 2. Representative literature ATP-competitive MEK1/2 inhibitors. The hinge acceptor is shown in blue.


Figure 3. A) Resistance mutant profile of representative ATP-competitive (green) versus clinical allosteric MEK1/2 inhibitors (red). The radar plot shows the ratio of mutant versus $\mathrm{WT} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ in the $\mathrm{A} 375+$ MEK1 proliferation assay for several resistance alleles.(B) Correlation plot of the biochemical MEK1 WT versus MEK1 V211D cascade assay $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. (C) Correlation plot of the biochemical MEK1 V211D IC I $_{50}$ versus cellular A375 pERK EC 50 (WT in red, V211D in blue).
saturation of the 1 -aryl and solubilizing groups on the 2position. Saturation of 1 -aryl proved most promising and 7, a soluble analogue of piperidine 6 (Table 1), yielded a MEK1 co-crystal structure that confirmed the predicted binding mode (Figure 4).


Figure 4. (A) Crystal structure of 7 bound to MEK1 at 2.1 Ångstrom resolution. Ligand and critical residues of MEK1 are shown as sticks, the $2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ electron density map of the ligand, as well as close-by waters are contoured at $1.5 \sigma$. (B) Modeled binding mode of 6 in MEK1.7 (PDB 7PQV) shown as sticks on top of the X-ray ligand (lines). Hydrogen bonds are shown as red dotted lines.

X-Ray and Optimization Strategy. Analysis of the cocrystal structure of 7 with MEK1 (Figure 4A) revealed several details that helped rationalize the observed SAR. 7-Fluorine forms a very tight van der Waals-contact with $\mathrm{C} \beta$ of the gk Met143 (3.0 $\AA$ ), explaining the narrow SAR and selectivity benefit (presumably from clashes with branched or rigid gk residues). To accommodate 8 -aryl, gk Met 143 is rotated into the back pocket, sandwiching the aryl ring together with the side chain of $\beta 3 \mathrm{Lys} 97$. The $o-\mathrm{Cl}$ of the 8 -aryl fills a small nook under the $\beta 3$ sheet with a short distance to the carbonyl of Ala95, explaining why few substituents are tolerated in this
position. The methoxy group of the 8 -anisole projects into the back pocket toward two water molecules ligated by the DFGmotif, one of which is presumably replaced by the $8^{\prime}$-aryloxy side chain of 6 shown in Figure 4B. We hypothesized that the requirement to interact with the remaining water explains the strict requirement of the hydrogen bond ( HB ) acceptors on the $8^{\prime}$-aryl. The core adopts the typical hinge HB pattern, and its imidazole- N connects to the $\mathrm{gk}+2$ His 145 (only present in 8 other kinases) through a water network. While the overall conformation is very similar to the other published MEK1/2 structures, we noticed that the P-loop assumes a collapsed conformation that shields the hydrogen bond of the nitrile to Asn78 or $\beta 3 \mathrm{~K}$ Lys 97 in later analogues (Figure 4B, 7) and may explain its importance for potency. This analysis of the binding mode laid out the road map for the further optimization of 6 , which focused on the 1 -piperidine and $8^{\prime}$-aryl substituents, with small modifications in R2 and the ortho substituent of the 8 -aryl for property modulation.

Solubility Optimization. Because piperidine of $\mathbf{6}$ is not basic at physiological pH with a potentiometric $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of 4 , we introduced structural modifications to increase solubility via fraction ionized. While improving solubility, this was not a viable strategy due to the loss of cellular activity (Table 2).

Analysis of the small-molecule X-ray structures of 6 and related analogues suggested that stacking and shape complementarity of the core contribute to the high crystal lattice energy. We therefore systematically varied the 2 - and the 8 -aryl ortho substituent to modulate solubility with a set of molecular matched pairs (MMP) 10-22 (Table 3). In combination with the $\log P$ improvement of the $8^{\prime}$-pyridine to pyrimidine transformation, the $2-\mathrm{Me}$ substituent emerged as a key hot spot to improve solubility. Gratifyingly, $\mathbf{1 8}$ also had measurable solubility from crystalline material.

Intrigued by the strong $\log P$ impact of the $8^{\prime}$-pyrimidine and several pairs in which the $2-\mathrm{H}$ to Me transformation

Table 2. ADME Properties of Compounds 6, 8, and 9

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | solubility $[\mu \mathrm{M}]^{\text {b }}$ | $\log P$ | PAMPA ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ | 4.1 | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 5.3 | -4.2 |
| 8 | 2-EtOH | 6.9 | 67 | 4.6 | -4.4 |
| 9 | H | 8.4 | 47 | 4.8 | -4.5 |

${ }^{a}$ Could not be measured due to precipitation. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{HT}$ solubility at pH 6.8. ${ }^{c} \log P A M P A$.

Table 3. ADME Properties of Compounds 6, 10-22

${ }^{a} \mathrm{HT}$ solubility at $\mathrm{pH} 6.8 / \mathrm{FaSSIF}$ in $\mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{b}$ Could not be measured due to precipitation. ${ }^{c}$ Log PAMPA.
decreased $\log P$, we set out to analyze the conformational impact of a set of key modifications by small-molecule X-ray, quantum mechanics (QM), and NMR solution conformation (Figure 5). The three methods gave consistent results and showed a progressively closed conformation upon increasing the bulk around the dihedral of the 1 -piperidine substituent. The closed conformation is more compact and buries polarity due to the N lone pair pointing inward, rationalizing the $\log P$ increase from 6 to 18 to 24 (Table 4). The bias toward a closed conformation may also explain the strong $\log P$ impact of the $8^{\prime}$-pyrimidyloxy substituent as $8^{\prime}$-pyridyloxy nitrogen would be buried due its dihedral preference, implying that the additional nitrogen would be exclusively surface exposed.

Cyp3A4 and HLM Optimization. Another rationale for the introduction of a $2-\mathrm{Me}$ (18) or junction-Me (24) group was to stabilize the proposed bioactive conformation of 6 , and indeed both modifications consistently improved activity. Unfortunately, we also observed that stabilizing the closed conformation had a detrimental effect on the Cyp3A4 activity, for example, in the matched pairs around $6(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}), 18$, and 24, the Сур3A4 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ decreased from 13 to 1.5 to $0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$, with
a concomitant decrease in liver microsome (LM) stability. This proved to be a general trend with a median 3 -fold increase in Cyp3A4 activity (Figure S1). While the LM data were variable and did not correlate with in vivo clearance, we observed a trend between Cyp3A4 inhibition and LM clearance, which held through the entire optimization. We therefore focused on tuning out Cyp3A4 inhibition as its SAR was easier to interpret.

MetID analysis revealed oxidation of the piperidine side chain to be a major clearance route. Furthermore, a matched pair comparison of 24 with cyclohexyl analogue 25 demonstrated that the piperidine nitrogen in the closed conformation represents a metabolic liability, rather than benefiting physicochemical properties (Table 5). Because both the piperidine and the acetonitrile seemed to be Cyp3A4 recognition elements, we introduced more structural diversity in the piperidine sidechain (e.g., piperazines, carbinamines, and cyclohexyl derivatives). The first hint that exposed polarity in this region could tune out Cyp3A4 came from a set of carbinamines 26-28 (Table 6). We observed a dramatic difference not only in physicochemical properties but also Cyp3A4 inhibition with the isomeric cis-trans pair 26/27. In contrast to 26 , the exposed carbinamine in 27 was weakly basic (5.6) and poorly permeable. Due to a lack of activity, 27 was a dead end but gave the blueprint for the further Cyp3A4 optimization. Because the conformation of 27 is locked through the $2-\mathrm{Me}$, we concluded that the exposed polarity was responsible for the improved profile. In line with this reasoning, the removal of the conformational lock (28) partially reversed the beneficial effect of the trans carbinamine substituent.

The hypothesis that the combination of piperidine and nitrile drive Сур3A4 recognition was born out of the correlation of the whole data set, and both parameters improved when we removed the basic piperidine nitrogen or the acetonitrile side chain (Figure 6). Gratifyingly, a disconnect between human and rodent LM clearance present in earlier analogues also resolved in analogues with reduced clearance, suggesting that the species disconnect was linked to enamine formation.

Nitrile Replacements. Early on, we had realized the crucial importance of the nitrile acceptor for $\beta 3 \mathrm{~K}$ Lys 97 but failed to find replacements. Given the progress in potency and properties, 24 offered a starting point to design a diverse set of analogues with the goal of replacing the nitrile acceptor (e.g., sulfonamides, ureas/amides, small heterocycles). From this exercise, hydroxy-acetamide 29 emerged with the best overall profile combining potency and a favorable physicochemical and Cyp3A4/HLM profile (Table 7). The high plasma protein binding of early analogues had been a concern, but in addition to improved solubility and $\log P, 29$ also showed a dramatically improved free fraction (human: 5\%). 29 was orally bioavailable with a medium-high clearance, but while this exposure was sufficient to show robust PK-PD modulation (pERK, DUSP6), 29 only achieved $41 \%$ tumor growth inhibition at the maximum dose that could be formulated ( $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ bid). Incorporation of a chiral $F$ on the piperidine that we had identified as beneficial earlier yielded 30, which had the desired 10 -fold improved potency along with a similar overall profile. Table 7 shows the improvement in properties and on target potency from starting point 5 to 30 .
The 3-F-piperidine was designed to target the backbone carbonyls of Leu74 and Gly75 of the collapsed P-loop with




Figure 5. Small-molecule conformations of four key R1-substitution patterns exemplified by 6, 23, 18, and 24 leading to increasingly closed conformations. Top panel A. shows the small-molecule X-ray (the piperidine in 18 was disordered with a closed/open ratio of $59: 41$ ), middle panel B. shows the NMR solution conformation (blue sticks, the 1-nitrile and the $8^{\prime}$-aryl are not resolved in 6 and 23) versus the modeled bioactive conformation of 18 (white sticks) and panel C. shows the QM minimum energy conformation in water.

Table 4. Impact of R1 and R2 on Conformation

${ }^{a}$ Distribution of the open/closed conformation of piperidine/piperazine by solution NMR. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{QM}$ calculations. ${ }^{c}$ Log $P$ of $8^{\prime}$-pyrimidine $\mathrm{MMP} \mathbf{1 7}$.

Table 5. Impact of Piperidine $\mathbf{N}$ on Clearance


|  | X | $\log P$ | $\mathrm{Cyp} 3 \mathrm{~A} 4^{\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mu \mathrm{M}]}$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{h} \mathrm{LM}$ | mouse ivCL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | N | 4.2 | 1.5 | $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}$ | 35 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | C | 4.6 | $<0.5$ | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{ND} / \mathrm{H}$ | 6 |

orthogonal F-interactions (modeling in the MEK1.7 structure showed very tight contacts within van der Waals radii, Figure 7) and comparison of matched pairs showed a consistent improvement of this modification.

Deconstructive SAR around 30. Despite intense efforts, we could not identify a single analogue that matched the profile of 30 . A retrospective SAR deconstruction of 30 by single-atom changes demonstrates the outlier behavior of 30 in terms of its balanced profile: every change was detrimental across several properties (Table 8). The only single atom change that retained cell potency (34) had higher $\log P$, Cyp3A4 inhibition and clearance. Analogues 32 and 33
illustrate the importance of a well-placed Lys97 interaction and notably did not improve the flux despite the removal of a HB donor. The dramatic effect of removing the 2-Me group on (ef)flux suggests that the hydroxy group of 30 is internally hydrogen bonded to the $8^{\prime}$-pyrimidine in 30 but not in 31 . Furthermore, the comparison of 30 with 29 suggests that the 3-F-piperidine helps in adopting a closed conformation.

Profile of 30. A highly potent inhibitor of WT and V211D MEK1, 30 bottoms out the sensitivity limit of our cascade assay even at 1 mM ATP. By decreasing the protein concentration, we were able to increase the sensitivity 10 fold but again 30 reached the sensitivity limit of the assay. Because ATP-competitive behavior had been demonstrated with less potent analogues, we estimate the intrinsic potency of 30 at $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ of ATP to be below 10 pM . The tight binding of 30 was confirmed by kinetic experiments with a probe displacement assay using inactive MEK1 showing a half time of 97 min (trametinib: 25 min ). In line with the structural rationale, 30 showed no preference for active or inactive MEK in this assay format. Inhibition of ERK and BRAF was negligible. In A375 cells, this translated into single-digit nM inhibition of pERK and proliferation. Off-target kinase hits in the DiscoverX panel were followed up with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} / K_{\mathrm{d}}$ and cellular $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ if available (Figure 8).

Table 6. Impact of Exposed Polarity on Cyp3A4 Activity

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R2 | X | R1 | R1 ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\log P$ | Cyp3A4 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a}$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{h} \mathrm{LM}^{\text {b }}$ |
| 18 | Me | N | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ |  | 3.3 | 1.5 | M/M/H |
| 26 | Me | C | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 4.2 | 1.2 | M/M/H |
| 27 | Me | C | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ | 2.6 | 12.1 | L/L/M |
| 28 | H | C | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ | 3.3 | 5.7 | L/L/M |

${ }^{a}$ In $\mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{b}$ Upper (H), middle (M), and lower (L) 33 percentiles of the Novartis LM clearance data.


Figure 6. Correlation of human with rat microsome liver clearance (B) and Cyp3A4 inhibition (A). R1 subseries are color coded (red: piperidine nitriles, orange: cyclohexyl acetonitriles, blue: piperazines, and green: non-nitriles), the lines show the upper (red), and lower (green) 33 percentiles of the Novartis LM clearance data.

Table 7. Nitrile Replacement SAR


18
29
30

|  | pERK EC50 [nM] | $\log P$ | $\text { PAMPA }^{a}$ | Cyp3A4 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{uM}]$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{h} \mathrm{LM}^{b}$ | rat PK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | rat iv $\mathrm{CL}[\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} . \mathrm{kg}]$ | \% F |
| 5 | 250 | 6.8 | H | <0.5 | H/M/H | 8 | 5 |
| 18 | 60 | 3.3 | H | 1.6 | M/M/H | 16 | 0 |
| 29 | 50 | 2 | L | 11 | L/L/L | 34 | 37 |
| 30 | 5 | 1.6 | M | 7.7 | M/L/M | 35 | 65 |

${ }^{a} \log P A M P A .{ }^{b}$ Upper (H), middle (M) and lower (L) 33 percentiles of the Novartis mouse - rat - human LM clearance data logPAMPA.

Of the 6 kinases within a 1000 -fold selectivity window, only TrkA showed potent cell inhibition ( $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 25 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and we therefore tested whether a selective TrkA inhibitor showed pERK inhibition in A375 cells ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}:>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). AurA/B was a safety concern, but the first signs of G2M arrest appeared only at $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Analogue 30 is characterized by a favorable physicochemical profile with medium to good permeability, decent solubility from crystalline material and low $\log P / D$. Its moderate Cyp3A4 inhibition of $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ translated to medium to low LM
clearance. In rodents, 30 showed good oral bioavailability and medium clearance (Table 9).

In a PK/PD experiment in A375 tumor-bearing mice, 30 dosed at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ showed a robust inhibition of pMEK, pERK, DUSP6, and SPRY4 up to the 8 h time point whose free exposure corresponded to the $\mathrm{pERK} \mathrm{EC}_{90}$ (Figure 9A,B). In a A375 tumor-bearing mice efficacy study, $\mathbf{3 0}$ dosed at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.i.d. achieved comparable efficacy to trametinib dosed at the mouse MTD without any body weight loss (Figure 9C). In line


Figure 7. Modeled binding mode of 30 in the MEK1.7 co-xtal structure (PDB 7PQV) shown as sticks on top of the X-ray ligand (lines). Hydrogen bonds are shown as red dotted lines. The inset shows the orthogonal carbonyl fluorine interactions of the 3-Fpiperidine with the P-loop Leu74 and Gly75 carbonyls.
with the PK and our efficacy model (time over $\mathrm{EC}_{90}$ as driver), $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ b.i.d. was superior to $60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{qd}$.

Chemistry. A general synthesis of compounds $5-35$ is developed, as shown in Schemes 1-4. Compounds 6-22 were prepared in six steps from intermediate 40, as shown in Scheme 1. Intermediates 44-47 were obtained by the substitution of the 4 -chloro group in intermediate 40 with tert-butyl 4 -aminopiperidine-1-carboxylate and nitro group reduction followed by cyclization with the appropriate triethyl ortho ester. Intermediates 53-58 were synthesized by the Bocdeprotection of intermediates 44-47 and N -alkylation of suitable alkyl bromides and these intermediates were subjected to the Suzuki reaction with the desired boronate esters 59a-d to obtain compounds 6-22. Compound 5 was synthetized in analogy to 48 substituting tert-butyl 4 -aminopiperidine-1carboxylate with 2-(4-aminophenyl)acetonitrile.
Compounds 23 and 24 were synthesized as shown in Scheme 2. Intermediates 63 and 64 were prepared by substitution of the 4 -chloro group in intermediate 40 with tert-butyl 4-aminopiperazine-1-carboxylate, 60a, and tert-butyl 4 -amino-4-methylpiperidine-1-carboxylate, $\mathbf{6 0 b}$, nitro group reduction followed by cyclization with triethyl orthoformate. Compounds 23-24 were obtained by the Suzuki reaction


Figure 8. Biochemical selectivity of 30. All targets with $<10 \%$ ctrl in the DiscoverX panel were followed up with biochemical $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ whenever available or $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ (square: inhouse $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, diamond: Invitrogen $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, triangle: Millipore $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, circle: DiscoverX $K_{\mathrm{d}}$ ). $\mathrm{IC}_{50} / K_{\mathrm{d}}$ are plotted versus the DiscoverX remaining control @ $1 \mu \mathrm{M} 30$. Offtargets with $10-50 \%$ were set to $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, off-targets with $>50 \%$ were set to $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$. Targets below $10 \mathrm{nM} K_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ are labeled.

Table 9. Pharmacokinetic Profile of 30 in Mouse, Rat, and Dog

|  | mouse | rat | dog |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CL}[\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min} \cdot \mathrm{kg}]$ | 32 | 35 | 22 |
| $V_{\text {ss }}[1 / \mathrm{kg}]$ | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| AUC po d.n. $[\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{h}]^{a}$ | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| Oral BAV $[\% F]^{a}$ | 44 | 65 | 100 |

${ }^{a}$ Dosed as solution formulation.
between intermediates 63 and 64 and intermediate 59b, Bocdeprotection, followed by N -alkylation with bromo acetonitrile.

Compounds 25-28 were synthesized as shown in Scheme 3. Intermediates 70-72 were prepared by substitution of the 4chloro group in intermediate 40 with 2-(4-amino-4methylcyclohexyl)acetonitrile $67 a$ and benzyl (4-amino-1(cyanomethyl)cyclohexyl)carbamate 67b, nitro group reduction, and cyclization with triethyl orthoformate or triethyl

Table 8. Deconstructive Single Atom Changes around 30

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | R1 | R2 | R8 | R8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | pERK ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | PAMPA ${ }^{6}$ | $\log P$ | Cyp3A4 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 30 | OH | F | Me | Cl | H | 5 | M | 1.6 | 8 |
| 29 | OH | H | Me | Cl | H | 50 | L | 2 | 11 |
| 31 | OH | F | H | Cl | H | 340 | L | 2.3 | >20 |
| 32 | H | F | Me | Cl | H | 64 | ND | ND | ND |
| 33 | OMe | F | Me | Cl | H | 109 | H | 3.2 | 5 |
| 34 | OH | F | Me | Cl | Me | 7 | M | 2.6 | 2 |
| 35 | OH | F | Me | Me | H | 19 | M | 2 | >20 |

${ }^{a}$ A375 pERK EC50 [nM]. ${ }^{b} \log$ PAMPA. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{Cyp} 3 \mathrm{~A} 4$ IC50 [uM].


Figure 9. A,B) PK-PD of 30 in A375 tumor-bearing mice dosed at $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. (C) Efficacy of 30 in A375 tumor-bearing mice versus trametinib as the control. \% T/C and \% TGI values are given in Table S9.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compounds 6-22a

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions. (a) NBS, DMF, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) HCl gas, 1,4 -dioxane, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $\mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) DIPEA, DMF, rt, 2-6 h; (g) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 1,4-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (h) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ or $\mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ or glycolic acid or dL-lactic acid, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-6 \mathrm{~h}$; (i) 59a or $\mathbf{5 9 b}$ or $\mathbf{5 9 c}$ or $\mathbf{5 9 d}, \mathrm{Pd}^{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO} 3$, toluene, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 100$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-4 \mathrm{~h}$; (j) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (k) R-Br, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 2-8 h.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Compounds 23 and $24^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions. (a) DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3} 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) 59b, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PPh} 3)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene, EtOH, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h .
orthoacetate. Compounds 25-28 were obtained by the Suzuki reaction between intermediates $\mathbf{7 0 - 7 2}$ and intermediate 59b followed by Cbz-deprotection.

Compounds 30-35 were obtained as shown in Scheme 4. Intermediates 75 and 76 were prepared by substitution of the 4-chloro group in intermediate 40 with tert-butyl $(3 S, 4 S)$-4-amino-3-fluoropiperidine-1-carboxylate 73, nitro group reduc-
tion followed by cyclization with triethyl orthoformate or triethyl orthoacetate. Compounds 29-35 were obtained by the Suzuki reaction between intermediates 45, 75, and 76 and intermediates $\mathbf{5 9 b}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}$, Boc-deprotection and amide coupling with appropriate carboxylic acids. Compound 29 was synthetized in analogy to 30 starting from intermediate 43.

## Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compounds 26-28 ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions. (a) DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ or $\mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}, 100-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6-8 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) 59b, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene, EtOH, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ balloon, rt, 16 h .

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Compounds 30-35

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions. (a) DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ or $\mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}, 100-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6-$ 8 h ; (d) 59b, 59e or $59 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene, EtOH, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) R5-CH2CO $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{EDCI}$ $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{TEA}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 3-5 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 6-8 \mathrm{~h}$.

## - CONCLUSIONS

In summary, using a structure-based approach, we discovered cellularly active, selective MEK1/2 inhibitors with an ATPcompetitive mode of action. The liabilities of the initial tool compound 6 were addressed by the careful use of conformational analysis, matched pair analysis, and property-based design toward the main optimization parameters $\log P$, Cyp3A4 inhibition, and cell potency. We were able to improve Cyp3A4 inhibition and remove the initial species disconnect in LM clearance between rats and humans, decrease $\log P$ by 5 orders of magnitude, and increase the solubility and cellular activity by 2 orders of magnitude. Remarkably, this was achieved by the addition of only three heavy atoms from tool compound 6 to candidate 30 . Compound 30 is a novel highly potent and selective MEK1/2 kinase inhibitor with equipotent inhibition of WT and mutant MEK1/2 across several patient derived mutant MEK1/2 models. ${ }^{8,19,20}$ The drug-like properties of MAP855 allow further investigation in a mutant MEK1/ 2 setting.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry. Starting materials and solvents of reagent grade were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. All non-aqueous reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere in oven-dried glassware with magnetic stirring. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60-120 mesh and common solvents (hexane/dichloromethane/ethyl acetate/ methanol) mixture/gradient. The reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. TLC was carried out employing silica gel 60 F254 plates (Merck, Darmstadt), and developed chromatograms were visualized by UV ( 254 nm ). NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 300 or $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 300\right.$ or 400 MHz ) spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra were recorded using TMS as an internal reference. Chemical shifts are expressed in $\delta$ (ppm). Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) data for the compounds were generated on an Agilent 1100-LC/MSD VL and a Synergi $2.5 \mu$ MAX-RP 100A Mercury column with the mobile phase: $\mathrm{A}=0.1 \%$ formic acid, $\mathrm{B}=$ acetonitrile, applying a gradient: $0 / 30,0.5 /$
$30,1.5 / 95,2.4 / 95,3.0 / 30$ at a flow rate of $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and a temperature of $30.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ionization was achieved either by positive or negative modes. The purity of final compounds was $>95 \%$ as determined by analytical HPLC, which was carried out on an Agilent 1100 series by using two different methods. Method-1: column: Zorbax XDB C18 $5 \mu 4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$, mobile phase: $\mathrm{A}=0.01 \%$ TFA in water, $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN}(1: 1)$, gradient time $/ \% \mathrm{~B}: 0 / 30,1 / 70,6 /$ $100,8 / 100,10 / 30,12 / 30$, flow: $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature: $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Method-2: column: AG/C18/15-010, mobile phase: $\mathrm{A}=$ water, $\mathrm{B}=$ ACN (1:1), gradient: 70/30, flow rate: $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature: $40.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All intermediates were synthesized and characterized as described in patents WO2015022662, WO2015022663, and WO2015022664. ${ }^{21-23}$

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl) phenyl)acetonitrile (5). To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phen-oxy)pyridine $59 \mathrm{a}(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.789 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.076 \mathrm{~g}, 0.065$ mmol ) were added 2-(4-(8-bromo-7-fluoro- 1 H -imidazo[4,5-c]-quinolin-1-yl)phenyl)acetonitrile $42(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.657 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2 M $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL}: 1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated 5 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with EtOAc (10 mL ), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to give 0.1 g of 5 as a colorless solid ( $30 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.13-7.09 (m, 2H), $7.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=$ $506.1(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 98.5\%

8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1-(piperidin-4-yl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinoline (9). To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetra-methyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phen-oxy) pyridine 59 a ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.723$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.0064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added tert-butyl 4-(8-bromo-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c] quinolin-1-yl)piperidine-1carboxylate $42(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.556 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL}: 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated for 5 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to give the Boc-protected intermediate compound ( 0.25 g ), which upon treatment with TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in dry DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 150 mg 9 as a colorless solid ( $74 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62$ ( s ,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=474.2(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 96.9\%.

8-(2-Chloro-4-methoxyphenyl)-7-fluoro-1-(piperidin-4-yl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinoline (7). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.40$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-$ $3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.12$ (m, 2H); LC-MS: 98.16\%, $m / z=411.1(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method1): $99.1 \%$.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)ethan-1-ol (8). A 25 mL reaction tube was charged with compound $9(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-bromoethanol ( $0.176 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). 5 mL of dry DMF and 3 equiv of TEA were added to the mixture, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was completed, 50 mL of ethyl acetate was added and the mixture was washed with brine several times and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $5 \%$ MeOH and DCM to give 40 mg 8 as a colorless solid ( $22 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.0(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=$ $518.2(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 99.0\%.

General Experimental Procedure for the Preparation of Compounds 6 and 10-22. To a solution of intermediates 53-58 ( 1 mmol ) and corresponding boronate esters $\mathbf{5 9 a}-\mathbf{d}$ ( 1.3 equiv) in a mixture of toluene $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethanol $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( 0.1 equiv) and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $80-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5-8 \mathrm{~h}$ under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. When TLC showed the completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered off. The filtrate was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine and evaporated to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography ( $2-5 \%$ methanol/DCM) to give the desired compounds.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl) Piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (6). 0.045 g , $15.3 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta$ $9.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=513.1(M+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 97.0\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (10). $0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (s, 3H), 2.54 (d, 2H), 2.33 (d, 2H), 2.15 (d, 2H); LC-MS: $m / z=527.1(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 98.5\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-(hydrox-ymethyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (11). $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 29.6 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.98-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.0(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.48-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=542.8$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HPLC (Method-1): 97.4\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-(1-hy-droxyethyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (12). $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 32.78 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (dd,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ LC-MS: m/z $=556.9\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HPLC (Method-1): 97.0\%, $t_{\mathrm{R}}=4.17 \mathrm{~min}$.

2-(4-(7-Fluoro-8-(2-methoxy-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (13). 0.065 g , $24 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38$ (dd, 2H), 8.22-8.20 (dd, 1H), 8.99 (d, 1H), 7.93-7.87 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.83(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02$ (dd, 2 H ), 2.56-2.49 (m, 2H), 2.34 (dd, 2H), 2.19-2.15 (m, 2H); LCMS: $m / z=508.55\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HPLC (Method-1): 96.7\%.

2-(4-(7-Fluoro-8-(2-methoxy-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-2-meth-yl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (14). $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.0-8.8(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=$ $523.2(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): $98.0 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}}=4.50 \mathrm{~min}$.

2-(4-(7-Fluoro-2-(hydroxymethyl)-8-(2-methoxy-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (15). $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 8.2 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.66 (dd, 2H), 2.12 (dd, 2H); LC-MS: $m / z=538.57\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HPLC (Method-1): 95.0\%.

2-(4-(7-Fluoro-2-(1-hydroxyethyl)-8-(2-methoxy-4-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (16). 0.026 g , 22\% yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.0$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.36-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=553.2$ $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 97.8\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (17). 0.013 g , $3 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=514.92(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 96.3\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-meth-yl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (18). $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 25.3 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02$ (d, 3H), $2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ LC-MS: m/ $z=528.1(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 96.5\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-(hy-droxymethyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (19). ( $0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ yield) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 9.29$ (s, 1H), 9.03 (br s, 1H), 8.74 (d, 2H), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=543.8\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;$HPLC (Method-2): 96.1\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-(1-hy-droxyethyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (20). $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 7.46 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.29$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72$ (dd, 2H), $8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=558.3(\mathrm{M}+$ $1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 95.1\%.

2-(4-(7-Fluoro-8-(2-methoxy-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-2-methyl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (21). $0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 30.76 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (d, 2 H$), 2.77$ (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H); LC-MS: $m / z=523.5\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HPLC (Method-1): 97.5\%.

2-(4-(7-Fluoro-2-(hydroxymethyl)-8-(2-methoxy-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (22). $0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 8.43 \%$ yield as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=554.2(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 95.8\%.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperazin-1-yl)acetonitrile (23). To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2- dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenoxy)pyrimidine 59b $(0.182 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.0032 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.027 mmol ) were added the corresponding intermediates tert-butyl 4-(8-bromo-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c] quinolin-1-yl)piperazine-1carboxylate ${ }^{3} 63(0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL}: 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated for 2 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM})$ to give Bocprotected intermediate compound $\mathbf{6 5}(0.28 \mathrm{~g})$. Boc-intermediate $\mathbf{6 5}$ was deprotected with TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in dry DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$ for 1 h and reacted with bromo acetonitrile ( 1.5 equiv) separately in 5 mL of DMF and 3 equiv of DIPEA at room temperature overnight. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was completed, 50 mL of ethyl acetate was added and the mixture was washed with brine several times and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $5 \%$ methanol and dichloromethane to afford $23.0 .07 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%$ of yield (in two steps) as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.27$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ LC-MS: $m / z=515.5(\mathrm{M}+$ $1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 99.6\%, rt: 3.78 min .

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)-4-methylpiperidin-1-yl)acetonitrile (24). A similar experimental procedure was followed as explained for compound 23 synthesis employing the corresponding intermediates 59b ( $0.184 \mathrm{~g}, 0.556 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and intermediate $64(0.232 \mathrm{~g}, 0.501$ mmol ) to give compound 24. $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \%$ yield over two steps as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79-8.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-$ $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-$ $2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=$ $528.1(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 97.2\%, rt: 4.39 min .

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)-4-methylcyclohexyl)acetonitrile (25). To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenoxy) pyrimidine 59b ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( $0.0028 \mathrm{~g}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added 2-(4-(8-bromo-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c] quinolin-1-yl)-4-methylcyclohexyl)acetonitrile 70 (0.1 $\mathrm{g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of toluene/ $\mathrm{EtOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL}: 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated for 1 h at 90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM})$ to give the desired compound with $80 \%$ purity and showed two peaks. This was further purified by preparative HPLC and separated into two isomers by using a Column Zobrax XDB C18 $(9.4 \times 250 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, conditions: A: water, B: $\mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1)$ semipreparatively.

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)-4-methylcyclohexyl)acetonitrile (25a). Peak-1: $0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \%$ of yield as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48$ $(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ LC-MS: $m / z=527.1(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+} ;$HPLC (Method-1): $98.4 \%$, rt: 4.43 min .

2-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)-4-methylcyclohexyl)acetonitrile (25b). Peak-2: $0.021 \mathrm{~g} 17 \%$ of yield as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08$ (s,3H), 2.06-1.89 (m, 2H); LC-MS: $m / z=527.2(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): $97.5 \%$, rt: 4.61 min .

2-(1-Amino-4-(8-(2-chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluo-ro-2-methyl-1 H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)cyclohexyl)acetonitrile. To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaboro-lan-2-yl) phenoxy) pyrimidine $\mathbf{5 9 b}(1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 4.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( $0.0188 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added benzyl (4-(8-bromo-7-fluoro-1Himidazo $[4,5-c]$ quinolin-1-yl)-1-(cyanomethyl)cyclohexyl) carbamate $71(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL}: 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated 2 h at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to give Cbz-protected intermediate compound 71.1, 2.5 g . The Cbz -intermediate 71.1 was deprotected with a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ balloon in 5 mL of ethanol at room temperature for 16 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was completed, filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $5 \%$ methanol and dichloromethane to a mixture of two isomers. The two isomers were separated through preparative HPLC by using a Zobrax XDB C18 column $(9.4 \times 250 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, conditions: A: water, B: $\mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1)$.

2-((1s,4s)-1-Amino-4-(8-(2-chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c] quinolin-1-yl)cyclohexyl)acetonitrile (26). Peak $2: 0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \%$ of yield (in two steps) as a halfwhite solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.53$ (s, 1H), 9.19 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z$ $=542.15(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 98.8\%, rt: 5.68 min .

2-((1r,4r)-1-Amino-4-(8-(2-chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)cyclohexyl)acetonitrile (27). Peak 1: $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \%$ yield (over two steps) as a halfwhite solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 9.17$ (s, 1 H ), 8.73$8.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.30-8.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.22-4.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z=$ $542.01(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 99.1\%, rt: 5.83 min .

2-(1-Amino-4-(8-(2-chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluo-ro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)cyclohexyl)acetonitrile (28a, 28b). The experimental procedure of 26 substituting intermediates $72(1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{5 9 b}(0.930 \mathrm{~g}, 2.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave compounds 28a and 28b.

28a. Peak-1: $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \%$ of yield in two steps as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73-8.71$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-$ $1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=527.98\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HPLC (Method-1): $96.1 \%$, rt: 5.59 min .

28b. Peak-2: $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \%$ of yield in two steps as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72-8.71$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.66$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.40(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-$ $1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=527.98\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; HPLC (Method-1): 95.6\%, rt: 5.69 min .

1-(4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-meth-yl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)piperidin-1-yl)-2-hydroxyethan-1-one (29). To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenoxy)pyrimidine 59b ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( $0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added tert-butyl 4-(8-bromo-7-fluoro-2-methyl- 1 H -imidazo $[4,5-c]$ quinolin-1-yl) piperidine-1-carboxylate 45 $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of
toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}: 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated 2 h at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to give Boc-protected intermediate $77(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%)$. Boc-intermediate 77 was deprotected with TFA $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$ for 1 h and coupled with 2hydroxyacetic acid ( $0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.504 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of HOBt ( $0.141 \mathrm{~g}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TEA $(0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, in 5 mL of DMF at room temperature for 5 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was completed, 50 mL of ethyl acetate was added, the mixture was washed with brine several times, and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $5 \%$ methanol and dichloromethane to give 29 (807). $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ of yield in three steps as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.6 (br s, 1H), 4.98-4.95 (m, 1H) 4.22-4.19 (m, 2H), 3.83 (d, 2H), $3.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=547.4(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 95.8\%, rt: 3.36 min.
1-((3S,4S)-4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)-3-fluoropiperidin-1-yl)-2-hydroxyethanone (30). A similar experimental procedure was followed as explained for compound 29 synthesis employing the corresponding intermediates $76(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.519 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{5 9 b}$ ( 0.172 $\mathrm{g}, 0.0519 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give compound 30 in three steps. $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ of yield in three steps as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $2.15-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.25-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-$ $8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64-8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=565.1(M+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): 99.8\%, rt: 3.61; Chiral HPLC: $99.5 \%$, rt: 20.38 min , Column: AG/CHIRALPAK ADH/03.

1-((3S,4S)-4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c] Quinolin-1-yl)-3-fluoropiperidin-1-yl)-2-hydrox-yethan-1-one (31). To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-diox-aborolan-2-yl)phenoxy)pyrimidine 59b ( $5.66 \mathrm{~g}, 17.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.131 \mathrm{~g}, 1.134 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added tert-butyl $(3 S, 4 \mathrm{~S})-4-(8$ -bromo-7-fluoro- 1 H -imidazo[4,5-c] quinolin-1-yl)-3-fluoropiperidine-1-carboxylate $75(5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 11.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of toluene/EtOH ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}: 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated for 2 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ), filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to give Bocprotected intermediate 78 ( 6.6 g ). Boc-intermediate 78 was deprotected with TFA ( 20 mL ) in dry DCM $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$ for 1 h and coupled with 2-acetoxyacetic acid ( $2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 19.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of HOBt $(3.47 \mathrm{~g}, 25.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, EDCI $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(4.92 \mathrm{~g}$, 25.66 mmol ) and TEA ( $6.49 \mathrm{~g}, 65.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in 50 mL of DMF at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was completed, 50 mL of ethyl acetate was added, the mixture was washed with brine several times, and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $2 \%$ methanol and dichloromethane to afford the corresponding intermediate $84(6.3 \mathrm{~g})$. Intermediate 84 was deprotected with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(9 \mathrm{~g}, 105.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature overnight. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was completed, it was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to give compound 31. $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ of yield in four steps as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.79$ (br s, 1H), 8.73-8.72 (d, 2 H ), 8.68 (br s, 1H), 8.08-0.05 (d, 1H), 7.68-7.65 (m, 2H), 7.447.41 (dd, 1H), 7.37-7.34 (t, 1H), 5.65 (br s, 1H), 5.15-5.07 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ (br s, 1.5 H ), 4.51 (br s, 0.5H), 4.19 (br s, 2.5 H ), 3.75 (br s, $0.5 \mathrm{H}) 3.39-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$
(br s, 1H); LC-MS: $m / z=552.1(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): $96.2 \%$, rt: 4.17 min .

General Experimental Procedure for the Preparation of Compounds 32-33. To 2-(3-chloro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenoxy)pyrimidine $\mathbf{5 9 b}(0.172 \mathrm{~g}, 519 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added tert-butyl (3S,4S)-4-(8-bromo-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1 H -imidazo[4,5-c] quinolin-1-yl)-3-fluoropi-peridine-1-carboxylate $76(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.519 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of toluene $/ \mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}: 1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated for 2 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated. The crude was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $0-3 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}$ ) to give Boc-protected intermediate 79 ( 0.4 g ). Boc-intermediate 79 was deprotected with TFA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ in dry DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$ for 1 h and coupled with acetyl chloride and 2-methoxyacetyl chloride separately 5 mL of DCM or DMF at room temperature for 3-5 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was completed, 50 mL of ethyl acetate was added, the mixture was washed with brine several times, and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $5-10 \%$ methanol and dichloromethane to afford the corresponding products 32 and 33.

1-((3S,4S)-4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1H-imidazo [4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)-3-fluoropiperidin-1-yl)-ethan-1-one (32). The Boc-deprotected intermediate $(0.150 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.295 mmol ) was reacted with acetyl chloride ( $0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 0.443$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of TEA $(0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of dry DCM to give $32.0 .060 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%$ of yield as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 9.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.10-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.730-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-$ $5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ LC-MS: m/z $=549.3(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC: $96.8 \%$, rt: 4.14 min .

1-((3S,4S)-4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1H-imidazo [4,5-c]Quinolin-1-yl)-3-fluoropiperidin-1-yl)-2-methoxyethan-1-one (33). The Boc-deprotected intermediate $(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.295 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with 2-methoxyacetyl chloride ( $0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.443 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of TEA ( $0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of dry DMF to give $33.0 .042 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ of yield as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 9.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80-8.56$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.38-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.68(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 2.39-2.15 (m, 2H); LC-MS: $m / z=579.2(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC: $99.6 \%$, rt: 3.99 min .

1-((3S,4S)-4-(8-(2-Chloro-4-((4-methylpyrimidin-2-yl)oxy)-phenyl)-7-fluoro-2-methyl-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl)-3-fluo-ropiperidin-1-yl)-2-hydroxyethan-1-one (34). A similar experimental procedure was followed as explained for compound 31 synthesis employing the corresponding intermediates 76 ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $59 \mathrm{e}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 2.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ to give compound 34 in four steps. $0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 4.78 \%$ of yield in four steps as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94$ (s, 1H), 7.60-7.36 (m, 2H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 6.99 (d, 1H), 5.65-5.21 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-$ $2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=579.25(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC (Method-1): $98.0 \%$, rt: 3.62 min .

1-((3S,4S)-3-Fluoro-4-(7-fluoro-2-methyl-8-(2-methyl-4-(pyrimi-din-2-yloxy)phenyl)-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]quinolin-1-yl) piperidin-1-yl)-2-hydroxyethan-1-one (35). A similar experimental procedure was followed as explained for compound 29 synthesis employing the corresponding intermediates $76(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.039 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $59 \mathrm{f}(0.45 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.45 mmol ) to give compound 35 in three steps. $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \%$ of yield in three steps as a half-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 9.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-$ $4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.86$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; LC-MS: $m / z=545.2(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$; HPLC: (Method-1) 98.2\%, rt: 3.80 min .

ADME Assays. Standard ADME assays (HT solubility, ${ }^{24} \log P$, ${ }^{25}$ PAMPA, ${ }^{26}$ Cyp3A4, and LM) were carried out as published previously.
NMR Solution Conformation. All NMR measurements $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{D}\right.$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{D}$, HSQC, COSY, and ROESY) for the assignment of the compounds 6, 23, 18, and 24 in DMSO were recorded at 300 K on a Bruker 600 MHz Avance III spectrometer $\left(600.13 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $150.92 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Larmor frequencies) equipped with a 1.7 mm TCI CryoProbe with actively shielded $z$-gradients. All spectra were referenced according to the internal solvent signal ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{DMSO}=$ 2.50 ppm and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{DMSO}=39.52 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. All NMR samples were prepared by dissolving approximately 1 mg of the compound in $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO. ROESY experiments were used for the determination of distances between protons within the compounds 6, 18, 23, and 24. ROE data are measured by the integration of cross-peaks in the ROESY spectrum. Using the invariant distance between geminal protons on the piperazine (6) and on the piperidine (18, 23, and 24) as a reference distance of $1.75 \AA$, the distance $r_{i j}$ between a pair of hydrogens $i$ and $j$ is determined from the ROESY peak integral $a_{i j}$ according to

$$
r_{i j}=r_{\mathrm{ref}}\left(\frac{a_{\mathrm{ref}}}{a_{i j}}\right)^{1 / 6}
$$

where $r_{\text {ref }}$ and $a_{\text {ref }}$ are the distance and peak integral of the reference protons, respectively. The obtained distances are provided in the Supporting Information Tables S5-S8 for each molecule. These distances were used as constraints for a constrained QM minimization to derive the solution conformation (excluding disordered atoms) of each compound.

Small-Molecule X-Ray Structures of 6, 18, 23, and 24. Singlecrystal X-ray diffraction studies were carried out on our two Bruker three-circle diffractometers using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.5478)$ from either a microsource or a rotating anode. Crystals of the subject compounds were grown by dissolving approximately 1 mg of the sample in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the solvent (e.g., chloroform), which were then evaporated. Data were collected in a nitrogen gas stream at 100 K , integrated using the Bruker SAINT software and scaled using SADABS. Structure solution and refinement was carried out with standard SHELX ${ }^{27}$ modules. The experimental values are tabulated in Supporting Information, Table S3. The refined coordinates have been deposited with Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC).

Co-crystallization of 7 with MEK1. Crystals of MEK1 in complex with 7 were prepared using an undisclosed gallery protocol and construct (Proteros, Munich). During data acquisition, the crystal temperature was kept at 100 K . Diffraction data were collected at the Swiss Light Source (Villigen, Switzerland) using a Pilatus pixel detector with an incident monochromatic X-ray beam. Raw diffraction data were processed and scaled using XDS/XSCALE software. The structure was solved by molecular replacement using as search model the coordinates of published MEK1 X-ray structures. Subsequent model building and refinement was performed according to standard protocols with the software packages CCP4 and COOT. X-ray diffraction and model refinement statistics are listed in Supporting Information, Table S2. The refined coordinates of the complex structure have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank. The binding modes of MEK1.6 and MEK1.30 were generated by manual docking and constrained minimization using the Wit!p software (available from http://www.biochem-caflisch.uzh.ch/download). PyMol was used for structural visualization and figure preparation (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.5.04, Schrödinger, LLC).

QM Conformation Analysis. Conformational distributions were calculated by submitting neutral structures to the ReSCoSS workflow, ${ }^{28}$ followed by the calculation of the Boltzmann distributions of the conformer set in water. Visual inspection of the piperidine conformers and their Boltzmann weight in aqueous solution was used to derive piperazine in and piperazine out ratios.

Enzymatic Assays. Recombinant human-activated BRAF (V599E) kinase (Cat no. 14-557), human full length inactive MEK1 kinase (Cat no. 14-706), kinase dead MEK1 (K97R) (Cat no. 14-737), human full length inactive ERK2 (Cat no. 14-536), and activated ERK2 (Cat. no.14-550) were procured from Millipore. IkB $\alpha$ (UW9970) was procured from BIOMOL. Individual $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined using a 10 -point dose-response curve generated by GraphPad Prism software version 4 (San Diego, California, USA) using the non-linear regression curve fit for a sigmoidal dose response (variable slope). For TR-FRET assays, the signal (excitation at 340 nm and emission at 615 and 665 nm ) was read with a $50 \mu$ s delay time on a Victor ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~V}$ fluorimeter. The ratio of fluorescence at 665 to 615 nm was used to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~S}$.

BRAF(V599E)-MEK1-ERK2 Cascade Enzymatic Assay. The assay buffer solution contained 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1$ mM DTT, and $0.01 \%$ Tween 20. Enzyme concentrations used were 1 nM activated BRAF, 0.2 nM inactive MEK1, and 215 nM inactive ERK2 in the assay. The final concentration of 1 mM ATP and 500 nM long chain biotin-peptide substrate (LCB- FFKNIVTPRTPPP) was added to initiate the assay reaction in the 384 well format. Serial dilutions of inhibitors ( $2.5 \%$ DMSO final concentration) were preincubated with the enzymes for 45 min before initiating the assay reaction. The kinase reaction was stopped after 90 min with 10 mM EDTA and Lance detection mix ( 2 nM Eu-labeled anti-phospho myelin basic protein antibody) (Cat. no. TRF0201-D-PerkinElmer), 20 nM SA-APC (Cat no. CR130-100-PerkinElmer) was added. For the MEK1 (V211D) cascade assay, 1 nM of the in-house expressed MEK1 (V211D) enzyme was used instead of WT MEK1. For the high-sensitivity assay format, 0.02 nM of $\operatorname{BRAF}(V 599 \mathrm{E})$ was used instead of 1 nM .

ERK2 Enzymatic Assay. The assay buffer solution contained 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.01 \%$ Tween 20 , and 1 nM activated ERK2. The final concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP and 500 nM long-chain biotin-peptide substrate (LCB-FFKNIVTPRTPPP) was added to initiate the assay reaction in a 384 well format. Serial dilutions of inhibitors ( $2.5 \%$ DMSO final concentration) were preincubated with the enzymes for 45 min before initiating the assay reaction. The kinase reaction was stopped after 90 min with 10 mM EDTA and Lance detection mix ( 2 nM Eu-labeled phospho-serine/ threonine antibody) (Cat.no. AD0176-PerkinElmer), 20 nM SA-APC (Cat. no. CR130-100-PerkinElmer) was added.

BRAF Radiometric Assay. The incorporation of ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ into MEK1 (K97R) by BRAF (V599E) was measured with final assay buffer conditions of 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 100 mM sucrose, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ sodium orthovanadate, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, $2 \mu \mathrm{Ci}[\gamma$ $\left.{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP, and 500 ng MEK1 kinase dead substrate. The enzymatic reaction was stopped after 120 min with 8 N HCl and 1 mM ATP. The solution was spotted on P81 filter paper and washed with $0.75 \%$ orthophosphoric acid four times and lastly with acetone. The dried P81 filter papers were read in a Micro-beta Trilux scintillation counter. The final concentration of DMSO was $1 \%$ in the assay. Compounds were screened at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration with preincubation of the enzymes with the compound for 45 min .

BRAF(V599E)-IkB $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ELISA Assay. The assay buffer solution contained 50 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MnCl} 2$, $0.5 \%$ BSA, and 1 mM DTT. The final concentration of assay reagents used were $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, 70 ng of IKB $\alpha, 200 \mathrm{ng}$ of BRAF(V599E), P$\operatorname{IkB} \alpha$ (S32/36) (5A5) Mouse mAb 1:10,000, 10 ng of immunopure goat anti-mouse IgG-AP conjugated, and $9 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of AttoPhos AP. Plates were coated with 70 ng of $\operatorname{IkB} \alpha$ overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The next day, washes were done with TBST and blocked with Superblock at room temperature. After 3 h of incubation, washes were done with TBST and pat-dried. Serial dilution of inhibitors ( $10 \%$ DMSO final concentration), 200 ng B-Raf (V599E), and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP were added and incubated for 2.5 h at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by washing with TBST. The anti-IkB (p32p36) antibody was added and incubated overnight. The next day, washes were done with TBST. AP-conjugated goat-anti-mouse IgG was added and incubated at room temperature. After 2 h , AttoPhos substrate was added, and fluorescence was read on a SpectraMax Gemini (Molecular Devices)
with 444 nm excitation, 555 nm emission, and 570 nm cut off wavelengths.

Kinase Selectivity Profiling. Internal assays were run in the Caliper format. ${ }^{29}$ Larger kinome selectivity data were generated by DiscoverX and hits were followed up with Millipore $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~s}$ or DiscoverX $K_{d}$ s.

Cell Line and Culture Conditions. The A375 cell line was procured from ATCC and was grown in DMEM medium (SigmaAldrich, Catalog number R5648, supplemented with 1 mM sodium pyruvate, $1500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ sodium bicarbonate and $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum) in the presence of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A375 cells were washed and replenished with fresh media once every 2 days, and the cells were sub-cultured once a week, at a split ratio of 1:4 to 1:6. Infection with plasmids carrying MEK1/2 resistance alleles and growth conditions was adapted according to L. Garaway (personal communication).
pERK Cellular Assay in A375 Cell Line. A375 cells were seeded in 96 -well plates at a density of $1 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well in $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of complete growth medium. The cells were treated with the compounds in triplicates and incubated for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells treated with $0.1 \%$ DMSO in complete growth medium served as a vehicle control. Cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde for 1 h at room temperature. Following fixation, cells were washed and blocked with 5\% BSA/PBS Tween 20 ( $0.1 \%$ ) for 2 h . The cells were incubated with rabbit-anti-phospho-ERK1/2 primary antibody (Cell Signaling, USA) at a dilution of 1:500 in 5\% BSA/PBST overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were washed with Delfia wash buffer and incubated with delfia-Eu-N1 labeled anti-rabbit antibody (PerkinElmer, USA) at a dilution of 1:4000 in DELFIA assay buffer for 2 h . The cells were then washed with DELFIA assay buffer and incubated in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per well of Wallac-DELFIA enhancement solution (PerkinElmer, USA). The plate was read on the Wallac VICTOR2 reader on the Europium setting. Following the read out, cells were incubated with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Hoechst solution ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ stock diluted in PBS 1:10,000) for 10 min . The plates were read on a Wallac VICTOR2 reader in the umbelliference setting. The values for the individual samples were normalized to Hoechst readings and were calculated as a percentage of the DMSO-treated sample value. The normalized data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism software (version 6.0 for Windows) using the four-parameter non-linear regression model to generate the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$.

A375 Proliferation Assay. A375 cells were seeded in 96 -well plates at a density of $1 \times 10^{3}$ cells/well in $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the complete growth medium. The cells were treated with the compounds in triplicates and incubated for 72 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells treated with $0.1 \%$ DMSO in the complete growth medium served as a vehicle control. After $72 \mathrm{~h}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of XTT solution (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was added to each well and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The plate was read in a colorimetric microplate reader at 465 nm . Absorbance values were normalized by subtracting blank values from individual sample values. The values for the individual samples were calculated as a percentage of the DMSO treated sample value. The data were analyzed with Graph Pad Prism software (version 6.0 for Windows) by using the four-parameter non-linear regression model to generate the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$.

In Vivo Pharmacokinetic Studies. Compounds were screened in pharmacokinetic studies in male Wistar Rats. The compound was administered by intravenous (IV) and oral (PO) routes at $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ doses, respectively $(n=3)$. For the oral arm, the compound was administered as a solution formulation in $2 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{HCl}$ ( 1 N ), $20 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ propylene glycol, $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Solutol, citrate buffer (qs). Plasma from the blood samples drawn at 9 time points up to 24 h were analyzed for the drug concentration using liquid chromatog-raphy-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The following pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using the WinNonlin software. The half-life, total systemic clearance, volume of distribution, area under the curve, and mean residence time were determined from the IV samples, while the oral bioavailability, area under the curve, and maximum concentration achieved were obtained from PO sample analysis. Pharmacokinetic studies were also performed in male CD1 mice, beagle dogs, and cynomolgus monkeys.

PK/PD Studies A375 Tumor Bearing Mice. Animals were randomized based on their tumor volume and treatment was initiated when the mean tumor volume in all groups reached around $250 \pm 50$ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$. Randomized animals were treated with a vehicle and compound 30 (by oral gavage) at the indicated dose levels. Compound 30 was administered as solution formulation in $2 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N}), 20 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ propylene glycol, $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Solutol, and citrate buffer (qs). At fixed time intervals ( $n=4 /$ time point), the animals were sacrificed, and the plasma and tumor samples were collected and subjected to PK and PD analyses as mentioned below.

For pharmacodynamic analysis of tumor samples, tumor lysates were prepared using cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, USA) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After cell lysis, lysates were centrifuged at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The protein content in the supernatant was estimated using the BCA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The tumor lysates were then subjected to PD analysis using ELISA and western blotting as described below.

Quantification of pMEK $1 / 2$ and pERK in Tumors. Anti-phospho-ERK1/2, anti-ERK1/2, anti-phospho-MEK $1 / 2$, and antiMEK1/2 antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. Western blot analyses on the extracted protein samples were conducted after separation by $10 \%$ SDS/PAGE electrophoresis and transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. Immunoblotting was performed according to the recommendations of manufacturers of the antibody. Antibody binding was detected by a SuperSignal West chemiluminescence system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Thr202/Tyr204) Sandwich ELISA Kit (Cell Signaling Technology, USA, Cat \# 7177) was used for the estimation of phospho-ERK levels in tumor samples following treatment with compound $30.25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total protein/well was loaded in duplicates for each sample and the ELISA was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Quantification of DUSP6 and SPRY4 in Tumors. Expression of genes on mRNA level was assessed by one-step RT-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using reverse transcription (RT) coupled to real-time quantitative PCR using TaqMan probes from Life Technologies (DUSP-6 human Fam-mgb, Hs00737962; SPRY4 human Fam-mgb, Hs00229610 ml ; and HPO-VIC mgb as primer limited endogenous control, 4326314E). The Master Mix was from Qiagen (QuantiTect Multiplex RT-PCR Kits with ROX dye, 204645). RNA, isolated from tumor lysates using the MagMax magnetic beads isolation method (protocol AM1836, Ambion 1830 kit), was normalized to a concentration to deliver $50 \mathrm{ng} /$ well. Following normalization, RNA and master mixes were loaded on a clear optical 384 -well reaction plate, sealed with optical adhesive covers, and run as a multiplexed one-step RT-PCR assay with each sample as a duplex in duplicate. The reaction was performed on the Viaa7 instrument from Applied Biosystems using the fast setting and comparative protocol. The run method was 20 min at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for RT thermal cycling, 15 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Taq polymerase activation and 45 cycles of 45 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 45 s at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The data were analyzed with the ABI VIAA7 RUO software v.1.2.2 system using an automatic threshold to obtain the $R Q$ values. The data were further transformed and plotted in Graphpad Prism v6.03 by normalizing the RQ values $(y)$ to the average RQ values of the vehicle group ( $k$ ) and the \% inhibition is calculated using the following formula

$$
\% \text { inhibition }=100-(y / k \times 100)
$$

## Determination of Plasma and Tumor Drug Concentrations.

 The protein was removed from plasma samples with acetonitrile and centrifugation. The supernatant was evaporated to dryness and reconstituted with the mobile phase. The samples were later analyzed for the drug concentration by LC-MS/MS in the multiple reaction monitoring mode. Tumor samples were homogenized and later subjected to the same procedure as plasma. A set of calibration standards and quality control samples were used for both plasma and tumor samples.Efficacy Study in A375 Tumor Bearing Mice. For in vivo antitumor efficacy studies in the A375 model, animals were randomized and dosing with compound 30 and reference compound (Trametinib) was initiated with a mean tumor volume of $150 \pm 10$ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$. Compound 30 was administered as solution formulation in $2 \%$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~N}), 20 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ propylene glycol, $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Solutol, citrate buffer (qs). Trametinib was formulated in 50 parts of $1 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and 50 parts of $0.5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ Natrasol (SigmaAldrich, USA). All formulations were prepared freshly just before dosing. Animals were monitored daily for signs of body weight loss, mortality/morbidity and/or clinical symptoms of toxicity. From an ethical viewpoint, all moribund animals (body weight loss of $\geq 20 \%$ of initial weight) were sacrificed during study. Also, animals bearing tumors weighing more than $>10 \%$ of their body weight were humanely sacrificed. To evaluate the antitumor efficacies of compound 30 and reference compound trametinib, tumor volumes were measured thrice weekly using digital vernier calipers. As a measure of efficacy, the \% $T / C$ and \% TGI (\% tumor growth inhibition) values were calculated at the end of the experiment as mentioned below. Changes in the tumor volume for each treated $(T)$ and control $(C)$ group were calculated by subtracting the mean tumor volume on the first day of treatment (starting day) from the mean tumor volume on the specified observation day. These values were used to calculate \% T/C and \% TGI using the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \% T / C=(\Delta T / \Delta C) \times 100 \\
& \% \text { TGI }=100-\% T / C
\end{aligned}
$$
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